

MITCHELL MORELAND §  
v. § CIVIL ACTION NO. 6:15cv308  
JOHN RUPERT, ET AL. §

This lawsuit was part of a larger complaint which was severed into cases for each listed plaintiff. Upon severance, the individual plaintiffs were directed to file amended complaints and pay the filing fee or seek leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. When the Plaintiff in this case did not do so, the magistrate judge issued a report recommending that the lawsuit be dismissed. A copy of this report was sent to the Plaintiff at his last known address, return receipt requested, but no objections have been received; accordingly, he is barred from *de novo* review by the district judge of those findings, conclusions, and recommendations and, except upon grounds of plain error, from appellate review of the unobjected-to proposed factual findings and legal conclusions accepted and adopted by the district court. *Douglass v. United Services Automobile Association*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir. 1996) (*en banc*).


1

**ORDERED** that the report of the magistrate judge (docket no. 6) is **ADOPTED** as the opinion of the District Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the above-styled civil action is **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** for failure to prosecute or to obey an order of the Court. Finally, it is

**ORDERED** that any and all motions which may be pending in this action are hereby **DENIED**.

**SIGNED this 21st day of March, 2016.**

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHAEL H. SCHNEIDER  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE